

RANK 2 STABLE SHEAVES WITH ODD DETERMINANT ON FANO THREEFOLDS OF GENUS 9.

MARIA CHIARA BRAMBILLA AND DANIELE FAENZI

ABSTRACT. According to Mukai and Iliev, a smooth prime Fano threefold X of genus 9 is associated with a surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, ruled over a smooth plane quartic Γ , and the derived category of Γ embeds into that of X by a theorem of Kuznetsov.

We use this setup to study the moduli spaces of rank-2 stable sheaves on X with odd determinant. For each $c_2 \geq 7$, we prove that a component of their moduli space $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$ is birational to a Brill-Noether locus of vector bundles with fixed rank and degree on Γ , having enough sections when twisted by \mathcal{V} .

For $c_2 = 7$, we prove that $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ is isomorphic to the blow-up of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the curve parametrizing lines contained in X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth complex projective threefold, whose Picard group is generated by an ample divisor H_X . We consider Maruyama's coarse moduli scheme $M_X(r, c_1, c_2)$ of H_X -semistable rank r sheaves F on X with $c_i(F) = c_i$ and $c_3(F) = 0$. This moduli space is a projective variety, and not much more is known in general; still many results are available in special cases. For instance, rank 2 bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 have been intensively studied since [Bar77].

Since [AHDM78] and [AW77], the case which has attracted most attention is that of *instanton bundles* on \mathbb{P}^3 , i.e. stable rank 2 bundles F with $c_1(F) = 0$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, F(-2)) = 0$. The starting points to analyze these bundles are given by Beilinson's theorem describing the derived category of \mathbb{P}^n , and by the related notion of monad, see [BH78]. Smoothness of the moduli space of these bundles has recently been announced for any c_2 (see [JV11]) together with irreducibility for odd c_2 (see [Tik11]).

If one desires to set up a similar analysis over a threefold X other than \mathbb{P}^3 , one direction is to look at Fano threefolds. Recall that if the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is linearly equivalent to $i_X H_X$, for some positive integer i_X and ample H_X , then the variety X is called a *Fano threefold of index i_X* . A natural way to generalize instanton bundles to X would appear to consider stable rank 2 bundles \mathcal{E} on X with:

$$(1.1) \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \omega_X \cong \mathcal{E}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14J60. Secondary 14H30, 14F05, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. Moduli space of vector bundles. Semiorthogonal decomposition. Brill-Noether theory. Vector bundles on curves.

The first author was partially supported by INDAM and MIUR. The second author was partially supported by GRIFGA, ANR-09-JCJC-0097-0 INTERLOW and ANR GEOLMI.

In the case $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, the bundle $\mathcal{E}(2)$ would thus be an instanton bundle.

In this paper we look at Fano threefolds X of index 1, and study the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_X(2, c_1, c_2)$, and its subspace consisting of bundles \mathcal{E} with the above property. The genus of a Fano threefold X of index 1 is defined as $g = H_X^3/2 + 1$. Note that one can assume $c_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, so we speak of bundles with odd or even determinant. The bundles satisfying (1.1) have odd determinant for $i_X = 1$, in fact $c_1(\mathcal{E}(1)) = 1$, and one can dare call them odd instantons. See [Fae11] for more on these notions.

To describe our point of view, let us start with low c_2 . One sees that $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ is empty for $c_2 < m_g = \lceil g/2 \rceil + 1$. The case of minimal $c_2 = m_g$ is well understood, and we refer to the survey we gave in [BF11]. For higher c_2 , we constructed in [BF08] a component $\mathbf{M}(d)$ of $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, d)$, whose general element is a vector bundle $F(-1) = \mathcal{E}$ such that \mathcal{E} is an odd instanton, i.e. it satisfies (1.1). In order to study the component $\mathbf{M}(d)$ and, as far as possible, the whole space $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, d)$, our idea is to make use of Kuznetsov's semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived category of X (see [Kuz06]). This provides a suitable homological method, rephrasing the language of monads and Beilinson's theorem.

To state our results, we focus on Fano threefolds X of genus 9. Recall that, by a result of Mukai, [Muk88, Muk89], the variety X is a linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian sixfold $\Sigma = \mathrm{LG}(3, 6)$, that is $X = \Sigma \cap \mathbb{P}^{11}$. We consider the projectively dual plane quartic $\Gamma = \Sigma^\vee \cap \mathbb{P}^2$, obtained cutting the dual variety of Σ with the linear section orthogonal to X , and the integral functor $\Phi^! : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)$, according to Kuznetsov's theorem, [Kuz06]. This functor is right adjoint to the fully faithful functor Φ , provided by the universal sheaf \mathcal{E} on $X \times \Gamma$ for the fine moduli space:

$$\Gamma \cong \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6).$$

Recall that the threefold X is associated with a rank 2 stable bundle \mathcal{V} on Γ , in such a way that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ of conics contained in X , see [Ili03]. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem. *The map $\varphi : F \mapsto \Phi^!(F)$ gives:*

A) *for any $d \geq 8$, a birational map of $\mathbf{M}(d)$ to a generically smooth $(2d-11)$ -dimensional component of the Brill-Noether locus:*

$$\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{M}_\Gamma(d-6, d-5) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq d-6\};$$

B) *an isomorphism of $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ with the blow-up of $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along a curve isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ of lines contained in X . The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ which are not globally generated.*

In particular we prove that $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ is an irreducible threefold which is smooth as soon as $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ is smooth. Note that this result closely resembles those of [Dru00], [IM00], [MT01], regarding rank 2 sheaves on a smooth cubic threefold in \mathbb{P}^4 , and relying on the Abel-Jacobi mapping.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we set up some notation. Then, in Section 3, we review the geometry of prime Fano threefolds X of genus 9, and we interpret some well-known facts concerning lines

and conics contained in X in the language of vector bundles and derived categories. In Section 4, we prove part (A) of the theorem above. Section 5 is devoted to part (B).

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referee for many useful comments that helped us correct some arguments and simplify some of the proofs.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we will collect some preliminary material and set up some notation.

2.1. Basic material. Let n be a positive integer. We consider a smooth connected complex projective n -dimensional variety X , equipped with an ample divisor class H_X . The canonical bundle of X is denoted by ω_X .

Given a subscheme Z of X , we write F_Z for $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z$ and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{Z,X}$ the ideal sheaf of Z in X , and by $N_{Z,X}$ its normal sheaf. We will frequently drop the second subscript. The degree $\deg(L)$ of a divisor class L over X is defined as the degree of $L \cdot H_X^{n-1}$.

2.1.1. Cohomology and derived categories. Given a pair of coherent sheaves (F, E) on X , we will write $\text{ext}_X^k(F, E)$ for the dimension of the vector space $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, E)$, and similarly $\text{h}^k(X, F) = \dim \text{H}^k(X, F)$. The Euler characteristic of (F, E) is defined as $\chi(F, E) = \sum_k (-1)^k \text{ext}_X^k(F, E)$ and $\chi(F)$ is defined as $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X, F)$.

Given two sheaves E, F , we denote by $e_{E,F}$ the natural evaluation map:

$$e_{E,F} : \text{Hom}_X(E, F) \otimes E \rightarrow F.$$

A sheaf F on X is called *simple* if $\text{hom}_X(F, F) = 1$, and *exceptional* if it is simple and moreover $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, F) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

As a basic tool, we will use the derived category $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ of complexes of coherent sheaves on X with bounded cohomology. For definitions and notation we refer to [GM96]. In particular we write $[j]$ for the j -th shift to the left in the derived category, and by $D(-)$ the anti-auto-equivalence of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ defined by $D(-) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_X(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Recall that a subcategory \mathcal{A} of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ is called left or right admissible if the inclusion $i_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X)$ has a left or right adjoint, which will be denoted as usual by $i_{\mathcal{A}}^*$ and $i_{\mathcal{A}}^!$ (\mathcal{A} is called admissible if it is so in both ways). Assuming \mathcal{A} admissible, we have $\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}, {}^\perp \mathcal{A} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{A}^\perp, \mathcal{A} \rangle$, \mathcal{A}^\perp is left admissible and ${}^\perp \mathcal{A}$ is right admissible. In this situation, the left and right mutations through \mathcal{A} are defined respectively as:

$$L_{\mathcal{A}} = i_{\mathcal{A}^\perp} i_{\mathcal{A}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mathcal{A}} = i_{\mathcal{A}} i_{\mathcal{A}^\perp}^!.$$

We refer to [Gor90, Bon89] for more details.

If \mathcal{A} is generated by an exceptional object A , and B is an object of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$, the left and right mutations of B through A are defined, respectively, by the triangles:

$$\begin{aligned} L_A B[-1] &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B) \otimes A \rightarrow B \rightarrow L_A B, \\ R_A B &\rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)^* \otimes B \rightarrow R_A B[1]. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2. ACM varieties and sheaves. Assume H_X very ample, so that X is a smooth n -dimensional subvariety of \mathbb{P}^m . Given a coherent sheaf F on X , we write $F(t)$ for $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(tH_X)$. If the coordinate ring of X is Cohen-Macaulay, then X is said to be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM). If $n > 0$, then X is ACM if and only if $H^k(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{I}_{X, \mathbb{P}^m}(t)) = 0$ for any integer t and for any $0 < k \leq n$. A locally free sheaf F on an ACM variety X is called *ACM (arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay)* if it has no intermediate cohomology, i.e. if $H^k(X, F(t)) = 0$ for all integer t and for any $0 < k < n$. The corresponding module over the coordinate ring of X is thus a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. For more details see e.g. [CDH05].

2.1.3. Hilbert schemes. Given a coherent sheaf F on X , we write $F(t)$ for $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(tH_X)$, we denote by $p(F, t)$ the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(F(t))$ of the sheaf F . Given a numerical polynomial $p(t)$, we let $\text{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ be the *Hilbert scheme* of closed subschemes of X with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$. In case $p(t)$ has degree one, we let $\mathcal{H}_d^g(X)$ be the union of irreducible components of $\text{Hilb}_{1-g+dt}(X)$ containing integral curves of degree d and arithmetic genus g . Both $\mathcal{H}_d^g(X)$ and $\text{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ are projective schemes.

2.1.4. Chern classes. The Chern classes $c_k(F)$ are defined for any coherent sheaf F on X , and actually for any object of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and take values in $H^{k,k}(X)$ (see for instance [Cäl05]). The degree of a coherent sheaf F is defined as $\deg(c_1(F))$. In the sequel, the Chern classes will be denoted by integers as soon as $H^{k,k}(X)$ has dimension 1 and the choice of a generator is clear. The Chern polynomial of a coherent sheaf F on X is defined as $c_F(t) = 1 + c_1(F)t + \dots + c_n(F)t^n$. Let Z be an integral subscheme of X , of codimension $m \geq 1$, denote by $[Z]$ its fundamental class in $H^{m,m}(X)$. We recall that when a sheaf T is supported at Z , and has rank r at a generic point of Z , then we have $c_k(T) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ and:

$$(2.1) \quad c_m(T) = (-1)^{m-1} r [Z].$$

If Z is not integral, a similar formula holds by taking the sum over all integral components of minimal codimension appearing in the support of T , weighted by their multiplicity.

2.2. Torsion-free and reflexive sheaves. Given a coherent sheaf F on X , we denote by $F^* = \mathcal{H}om_X(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the dual of F . Recall that a coherent sheaf F on X is *reflexive* if the natural map $F \rightarrow F^{**}$ of F to its double dual is an isomorphism. Any locally free sheaf is reflexive, and any reflexive sheaf is torsion-free. Recall that a coherent sheaf F on X is reflexive if and only if it can be included into a locally free sheaf E with E/F torsion-free, see [Har80, Proposition 1.1]. Moreover, by [Har80, Proposition 1.9], any reflexive rank-1 sheaf is invertible (recall that X is smooth and irreducible). Finally, we will use a straightforward generalization of [Har80, Proposition 2.6] which implies that the third Chern class $c_3(F)$ of a rank 2 reflexive sheaf F on a smooth projective threefold satisfies $c_3(F) \geq 0$, with equality attained iff F is locally free. We will need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a vector bundle on X and \mathcal{F} be a torsion-free sheaf such that $c_1(F) = c_1(\mathcal{F})$ and $\text{rk}(F) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. Then, any injective map $F \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

where the quotient T is a torsion sheaf with $c_1(T) = 0$. Assume $T \neq 0$. Note that $\mathcal{E}xt_X^k(T, F) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_X^k(T, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes F = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$, because T is supported in codimension at least 2, since $c_1(T) = 0$. Then from the spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathcal{E}xt_X^q(T, \mathcal{O}_X)) \implies \text{Ext}_X^{p+q}(T, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

we get $\text{Ext}_X^1(T, F) = 0$. This implies $\mathcal{F} \cong F \oplus T$, which is a contradiction because \mathcal{F} is torsion-free. \square

2.3. Summary on semistable vector bundles and sheaves. Let us now recall a few well-known facts about semi-stable sheaves on projective varieties. We refer to the book [HL97] for a more detailed account of these notions. Stability depends on the choice of an ample divisor H_X , so we will deal with polarized manifolds from now on. We recall that a torsion-free coherent sheaf F on X is (Gieseker) *semi-stable* if for any coherent subsheaf E , with $\text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}(F)$, one has $p(E, t)/\text{rk}(E) \leq p(F, t)/\text{rk}(F)$ for $t \gg 0$. The sheaf F is called *stable* if the inequality above is strict for all E and $t \gg 0$. A semi-stable sheaf is called *poly-stable* if it is the direct sum of stable sheaves of the same slope.

The *slope* of a torsion-free sheaf $F \neq 0$ is defined as $\mu(F) = \text{deg}(F)/\text{rk}(F)$. The *normalized twist* F_{norm} of F is set to be the unique sheaf $F(t)$ with $-1 < \mu(F(t)) \leq 0$. We recall that a torsion-free coherent sheaf F is μ -*semi-stable* if for any coherent subsheaf E , with $\text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}(F)$, one has $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$. The sheaf F is called μ -*stable* if the above inequality is strict for all E . We recall that the *discriminant* of a sheaf F is:

$$\Delta(F) = 2rc_2(F) - (r-1)c_1(F)^2.$$

Bogomolov's inequality, see for instance [HL97, Theorem 3.4.1], states that if F is μ -semi-stable, then we have:

$$\Delta(F) \cdot H_X^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

Another useful tool is Hoppe's criterion, see [Hop84, Lemma 2.6], or [AO94, Theorem 1.2]. It says that, if the line bundle H_X is very ample and generates $\text{Pic}(X)$, and F is a vector bundle on X of rank r , we have:

(2.2)

if $H^0(X, (\wedge^p F)_{\text{norm}}) = 0$, for all $0 < p < r$, then the bundle F is μ -stable.

We introduce here some notation concerning moduli spaces. Recall that two semi-stable sheaves are *S-equivalent* if the direct sum of all successive quotients associated with their Jordan-Hölder filtrations are isomorphic. We denote by $M_X(r, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ the moduli space of *S-equivalence* classes of rank r torsion-free semi-stable sheaves on X with Chern classes c_1, \dots, c_n . We will drop the values of the classes c_k from k_0 on when they are zero from k_0 on. The class in $M_X(r, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ of a given sheaf F will be denoted again by F .

2.4. Basic notions on prime Fano threefolds and K3 surfaces. Let now X be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3. Recall that X is called *Fano* if its anticanonical divisor class $-K_X$ is ample. A Fano threefold X is *prime* if its Picard group is generated by the class of K_X . These varieties are classified up to deformation, see for instance [IP99, Chapter IV]. The number of deformation classes is 10. Each class is characterized by the *genus*, which is the integer g such that $\deg(X) = -K_X^3 = 2g - 2$. Recall that the genus g of a prime Fano threefold satisfies $2 \leq g \leq 10$ or $g = 12$.

If X is a prime Fano threefold of genus g , the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ of lines contained in X is a scheme of pure dimension 1. The threefold X is said to be *exotic* if the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ contains a component which is non-reduced at any point. It turns out that no threefold of genus 9 is exotic, see [GLN06]. In particular the normal bundle of a general line $L \subset X$ splits as $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$. It is well-known that, if X is general, then the scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ is a smooth irreducible curve.

Remark that the cohomology groups $H^{k,k}(X)$ of a prime Fano threefold X of genus g are generated by the divisor class H_X (for $k = 1$), the class L_X of a line contained in X (for $k = 2$), the class P_X of a closed point of X (for $k = 3$). Hence we will denote the Chern classes of a sheaf on X by the integral multiple of the corresponding generator. Recall that $H_X^2 = (2g - 2)L_X$.

We will use the geometry of lines and conics contained in X . So let us note that, given a line L and a conic C contained in X , the Chern classes of \mathcal{O}_L and \mathcal{O}_C satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{O}_L(t)) &= 0, & c_2(\mathcal{O}_L(t)) &= -1, & c_3(\mathcal{O}_L(t)) &= 1 + 2t, \\ c_1(\mathcal{O}_C(t)) &= 0, & c_2(\mathcal{O}_C(t)) &= -2, & c_3(\mathcal{O}_C(t)) &= 4t, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the second Chern classes are given by (2.1) and the third Chern classes can be computed by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch (we will write down the formula explicitly for $g = 9$, see (3.11) next; for the formula for any genus see [BF08, (2.11)]).

A smooth projective surface S is a *K3 surface* if it has trivial canonical bundle and irregularity zero. We recall by [HL97, Part II, Chapter 6] that, given a stable sheaf F of rank r on a K3 surface S polarized by H_S , the dimension at F of the moduli space $M_S(r, c_1, c_2)$ is:

$$\Delta(F) - 2(r^2 - 1).$$

3. GEOMETRY OF PRIME FANO THREEFOLDS OF GENUS 9

From now on we will denote by X a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9. We will collect in this section some fundamental remarks on these threefolds.

3.1. Tautological bundle of rank 3. Most of the basic features of a Fano threefolds X of genus 9 come from $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ -geometry, and can be encoded in the properties of a tautological bundle of rank 3. For a detailed account on these varieties, we refer to the papers [Muk88, Muk89, Ili03, IR05].

By a result of Mukai, the threefold X is isomorphic to a 3-codimensional linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian Σ of 3-dimensional subspaces of a 6-dimensional vector space V which are isotropic with respect to a skew-symmetric 2-form ω . The manifold Σ is homogeneous for the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$, which acts on V preserving ω , and is equivariantly embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{13} = \mathbb{P}(\ker(\wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{C}))$. In other words, the manifold Σ , which is a Hermitian symmetric space, can be written as $\mathrm{Sp}(3)/\mathrm{P}(\alpha_3)$, where $\mathrm{P}(\alpha_3)$ is the parabolic subgroup associated with the longest root of the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$. The Lie algebra of this group has dimension 21 and its Dynkin diagram is of type C_3 .

The divisor class H_X embeds X in \mathbb{P}^{10} as an ACM variety. Indeed, by [IR05, Section 2.3], the variety Σ is ACM in \mathbb{P}^{13} , and this implies that the linear section X is ACM too. A very general hyperplane section S of X is a smooth K3 surface polarized by the restriction H_S of H_X to S , with Picard number 1 and sectional genus 9, and S is an ACM subvariety of \mathbb{P}^9 .

The manifold Σ is equipped with a tautological homogeneous rank 3 sub-bundle \mathcal{U} , and we still denote by \mathcal{U} its restriction to X . The tautological exact sequence on X , obtained as restriction from Σ , reads:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us review the properties of the vector bundle \mathcal{U} . Its Chern classes satisfy $c_1(\mathcal{U}) = -1$, $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 8$, $c_3(\mathcal{U}) = -2$. The bundle \mathcal{U} is exceptional (see e.g. [Kuz06, Section 6.3]). We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The bundle \mathcal{U} is stable and ACM. The same is true for its restriction \mathcal{U}_S to a smooth hyperplane section surface S with $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \langle H_S \rangle$.*

Proof. Applying Borel-Bott-Weil's theorem (see e.g. [Wey03]) on Σ , we obtain:

$$(3.2) \quad \mathrm{H}^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{U}(-t)) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} \text{all } k \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 3, \\ k \neq 0 \text{ and } t \leq -1, \\ k \neq 6 \text{ and } t \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Consider the Koszul complex of X in Σ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-2)^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-1)^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring it with \mathcal{U} , and using (3.2), it easily follows that \mathcal{U} is ACM on X . Since $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}^*(-1)$, by Serre duality we get $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}) = 0$, so \mathcal{U} is stable by Hoppe's criterion, see (2.2).

To check the statement on S , consider the defining exact sequence:

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{U} is ACM on X , tensoring (3.3) by $\mathcal{U}(-t)$, and using $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{U}) = 0$, we get:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathcal{U}_S(-t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathcal{U}_S) = 0.$$

Tensoring (3.3) by $\mathcal{U}^*(-t)$, recalling that we have proved $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*(-1)) = 0$, and that \mathcal{U} is ACM on X , making use of Serre duality we obtain:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(S, \mathcal{U}_S(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathcal{U}_S^*(-1)) = 0.$$

This proves that the bundle \mathcal{U}_S is ACM and that it is stable again by Hoppe's criterion. \square

Remark 3.2. Given a line L contained in X , the bundle \mathcal{U}^* splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. Indeed, it must split (by Grothendieck's theorem) as $\bigoplus_{i=1,2,3} \mathcal{O}_L(a_i)$ where we order the a_i 's so that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$. But \mathcal{U}^* is globally generated, so $a_i \geq 0$; and $c_1(\mathcal{U}^*) = 1$ implies $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1$, so $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = 1$.

3.2. Universal bundles and the decomposition of the derived category. Here we review the structure of the derived category of a smooth prime Fano threefold X of genus 9, in terms of the semiorthogonal decomposition provided by [Kuz06]. We will interpret this decomposition in terms of the universal vector bundle of the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 6)$.

3.2.1. Semiorthogonal decomposition in terms of moduli space of bundles. In view of the results of [IR05], and recalling [BF11, Lemma 3.4], the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 6)$ is fine and isomorphic to a smooth plane quartic curve Γ . Further, the universal sheaf \mathcal{E} for this moduli space is locally free by [BF08, Proposition 3.5]. It is defined on $X \times \Gamma$, and we denote by p and q respectively the projections to X and Γ . The curve Γ can be obtained as the intersection of the $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$ -invariant quartic in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{13}$ projectively dual to Σ , with the \mathbb{P}^2 spanned by the web of linear forms vanishing on X . This curve is also called the homologically projectively dual curve to X . Indeed, by Kuznetsov's theorem, see [Kuz06, Section 6.3]), we have a semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle,$$

where Φ is the integral functor associated with a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times \Gamma$, flat over Γ , and the symbol $\langle - \rangle$ denotes "generated" i.e. the minimal full triangulated subcategory containing a given family of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$. We show now that the sheaf \mathcal{F} is given by the moduli functor on $\Gamma = M_X(2, 1, 6)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The sheaf \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to \mathcal{E} , up to twist by an invertible sheaf.*

Proof. Given a point $y \in \Gamma$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_y the sheaf \mathcal{F} restricted to $X \times \{y\}$. We claim that, by [Kuz06, Appendix A], \mathcal{F}_y fits into a long exact sequence:

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where Z is a conic contained in X . In order to see this, we first inspect [Kuz06, Proposition A.9] (observe that \mathcal{F}_y is denoted by C in that paper). We observe that Z is obtained as the intersection of a sub-Grassmannian $\mathrm{LGr}(2, 4) \subset \Sigma$ of 2-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces in a 4-subspace of V , with a codimension-2 linear section of Σ containing X . Then, we note that $\mathrm{LGr}(2, 4)$ is a smooth quadric threefold, so that, since X does not contain planes or 2-dimensional quadrics, Z must be a conic.

We prove now that \mathcal{F}_y is torsion-free. Note first that, for all $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf \mathcal{F}_y is simple by Bondal-Orlov's criterion, [BO95], since the functor Φ is fully faithful. Hence \mathcal{F}_y is indecomposable. Let now I be the image of the middle map in (3.5), and recall from [Kuz06, Proposition A.9] that I is

torsion-free. Let T be the torsion part of \mathcal{F}_y and assume by contradiction that $T \neq 0$. Then (3.5) induces:

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_y/T \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0,$$

where $D \subset C$ is defined by $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_C/T$. So D is either a line in X or a finite set of points. In both cases, applying $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{O}_D(-1))$ to

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

we easily compute $\mathrm{Ext}_X^2(I, \mathcal{O}_D(-1)) = 0$. Hence, by Serre duality we obtain $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{O}_D, I) = 0$, so by (3.6) we get $\mathcal{F}_y/T \cong I \oplus \mathcal{O}_D$. We deduce that I is a direct summand of \mathcal{F}_y , contradicting that \mathcal{F}_y is simple.

Now, we compute $c_1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, $c_2(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -2$, $c_3(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, and so $c_1(\mathcal{F}_y) = 1$, $c_2(\mathcal{F}_y) = 6$, $c_3(\mathcal{F}_y) = 0$. Further, we easily check that \mathcal{F}_y is a stable sheaf, i.e. \mathcal{F}_y sits in $\mathrm{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Note that, by [BF08, Proposition 3.5], \mathcal{F}_y must be a vector bundle.

Since \mathcal{E} is a universal vector bundle for the fine moduli space $\Gamma = \mathrm{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, we have thus that \mathcal{F} is the twist by a line bundle on Γ of a pull-back of \mathcal{E} via a map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$. Finally, we show that f is not constant (hence f is an isomorphism and we are done). Indeed, again by Bondal-Orlov's criterion we have $\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{F}_y, \mathcal{F}_z) = 0$, for all k if $y \neq z \in \Gamma$. But if f was constant, we would have $\mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{F}_y, \mathcal{F}_z) = 1$, for any $y, z \in \Gamma$. \square

Since the universal sheaf \mathcal{E} is defined up to a line bundle, we may now assume $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{E}$.

3.2.2. An explicit formulation of the semiorthogonal decomposition. Let us write down explicitly Kuznetsov's semiorthogonal decomposition. The functor Φ is defined as follows:

$$\Phi : \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X), \quad \Phi(-) = \mathbf{R}p_*(q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}).$$

Recall that Φ is fully faithful and the corresponding right and left adjoint functors $\Phi^!$ and Φ^* are defined by the formulas:

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi^! : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma), \quad \Phi^!(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}^*(\omega_\Gamma))[1],$$

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi^* : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma), \quad \Phi^*(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}^*(-H_X))[3].$$

Given a sheaf F over X , in view of [Gor90], the semiorthogonal decomposition (3.4) gives a functorial exact triangle:

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi(\Phi^!(F)) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Psi(\Psi^*(F)),$$

where Ψ is the inclusion of the subcategory $\langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^* \rangle$ in $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ and Ψ^* is the left adjoint functor to Ψ . The k -th term of the complex $\Psi(\Psi^*(F))$ can be written as follows:

$$(3.10) \quad (\Psi(\Psi^*(F)))^k \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^{-k}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_X^{1-k}(F, \mathcal{U})^* \otimes \mathcal{U}^*.$$

3.2.3. Grothendieck Riemann-Roch's formula. Consider a coherent sheaf F of (generic) rank r on $X \times \Gamma$ and with Chern classes c_i, d_j lying in:

$$c_i \in \mathbf{H}^{i,i}(X) \otimes \mathbf{H}^{0,0}(\Gamma), \quad d_j \in \mathbf{H}^{j-1,j-1}(X) \otimes \mathbf{H}^{1,1}(\Gamma).$$

We let $c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by considering the integral multiples of the natural positive generator of the trace over \mathbb{Z} of each of the cohomology group above, and:

$$\xi \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(X) \otimes \mathbf{H}^{0,1}(\Gamma) \oplus \mathbf{H}^{1,2}(X) \otimes \mathbf{H}^{1,0}(\Gamma),$$

with $\xi^2 = \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch applied to the sheaf F on $X \times \Gamma$ reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(F) = & \frac{8}{3}c_1^3d_1 - \frac{8}{3}c_1^2d_2 - \frac{1}{3}c_1c_2d_1 + \frac{1}{6}c_1d_3 + \frac{1}{6}c_2d_2 + \frac{1}{24}c_3d_1 - \frac{1}{6}d_4 - \\ & - \frac{16}{3}c_1^3 + 4c_1^2d_1 + c_1c_2 - 4c_1d_2 - \frac{1}{4}c_2d_1 - \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{1}{4}d_3 - \\ & - 8c_1^2 + \frac{10}{3}c_1d_1 + c_2 - \frac{10}{3}d_2 - \frac{20}{3}c_1 + d_1 + \frac{1}{12}\alpha - 2r. \end{aligned}$$

By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch we also have:

$$\chi(F) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \chi(\mathbf{R}^i p_*(F)) = \sum_{j=0}^1 (-1)^j \chi(\mathbf{R}^j q_*(F)).$$

It will be handy to specialize this formula to a coherent sheaf F on X of rank r , with Chern classes c_1, c_2, c_3 (this is actually the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for a sheaf on X):

$$(3.11) \quad \chi(F) = r + \frac{10}{3}c_1 + 4c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{8}{3}c_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3.$$

Let us now go back to our universal bundle \mathcal{E} . We have the following:

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H_X + N, \quad c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 6L_X + H_X M + \eta,$$

where N and M are divisor classes on Γ , and η sits in $\mathbf{H}^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbf{H}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$. The formulas above help obtaining the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. *We have $\eta^2 = 6$ and $\deg(N) = 2 \deg(M) - 1$. Since the universal bundle \mathcal{E} is determined up to twisting by the pull-back of a line bundle on Γ , we may adopt the convention:*

$$(3.12) \quad \deg(N) = \deg(\mathcal{E}_x) = 5.$$

Proof. From the definition of Φ , it follows that $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_y) \cong \mathcal{E}_y$. Since the functor Φ is fully faithful, we easily obtain also the isomorphism $\Phi^!(\mathcal{E}_y) \cong \mathcal{O}_y$. Since \mathcal{O}_X lies in $\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))^\perp$, we have:

$$\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0.$$

Plugging the equations $\chi(\Phi^!(\mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$ and $\chi(\Phi^!(\mathcal{E}_y)) = 1$ into Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, we get our claim. \square

3.2.4. Mutation of the tautological bundle. One can play with mutations to obtain different semiorthogonal decompositions. For instance the vector bundle \mathcal{U} is given by the left mutation of \mathcal{U}^* through \mathcal{O}_X , in view of (3.1), more precisely $L_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{U}^* \cong \mathcal{U}[1]$.

Lemma 3.5. *We have the natural isomorphisms:*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))) \cong \mathcal{U}^*, \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))) \cong \mathcal{U}(1).$$

Proof. We first replace the semiorthogonal decomposition (3.4) by $\langle \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$ by left-mutating \mathcal{U}^* through \mathcal{O}_X . Let $S : F \mapsto F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1)[3]$ be the Serre functor of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$. It is well-known that, right-mutating \mathcal{U} through \mathcal{O}_X and $\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))$, we must obtain $S^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ i.e. $\mathcal{U}(1)[-3]$ (see [Bon89]). This gives the semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)), \mathcal{U}(1) \rangle,$$

Now, we have $R_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}^*[-1]$, so $R_{\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))} \mathcal{U}^*[-1] \cong \mathcal{U}(1)[-3]$. On the other hand, we have the mutation triangle:

$$(3.13) \quad R_{\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))} \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)).$$

Taking cohomology of the above triangle proves the lemma, and (3.13) becomes:

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{U}(1)[-2] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)).$$

□

3.3. Conics contained in X . In this section we review some facts concerning the geometry of conics contained in X . In Proposition 3.10 we recover Iliev's description of their Hilbert scheme, see [Ili03]. We outline a different proof, which holds for any smooth prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. We first look at the vanishing locus of sections of \mathcal{U}^* .

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*)$ be a non-zero global section of \mathcal{U}^* . Then σ vanishes either along a conic, or a line, or a pair of points. Further, for $\sigma' \in H^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*)$, not proportional to σ , the locus where σ and σ' vanish simultaneously is a line, or a point, or the empty set.*

Proof. Recall that X is cut in $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$ by a 3-codimensional linear section $\mathbb{P}^{10} \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$. From Lemma 3.1 we see that $H^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(\Sigma, \mathcal{U}^*)$, so σ and σ' lift to sections $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}'$ on Σ . The vanishing locus of σ and of (σ, σ') in X are given as the intersection of \mathbb{P}^{10} with the vanishing locus of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and of $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma}')$ in Σ .

Now, the vanishing locus of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a sub-Grassmannian of 2-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces in a 4-subspace of V , i.e. a quadric threefold Q in Σ . We have already observed that X contains no 2-dimensional quadrics or planes, so the locus in X obtained cutting Q with \mathbb{P}^{10} must be a pair of points (if the dimension is the expected one) or a conic, or a line.

Further, the locus in Σ where $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}'$ vanish together is a sub-Grassmannian of 1-dimensional Lagrangian subspaces in a 2-subspace of V , i.e. a $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \Sigma$. Cutting this \mathbb{P}^1 with the \mathbb{P}^{10} defining X we obtain either a line, or a point, or the empty set. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let C be any conic contained in X . Then we have:*

$$(3.15) \quad h^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) = 1, \quad h^1(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) = 0,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \text{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 1, \quad \text{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq 0$$

Proof. By Riemann-Roch we have $\chi(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_C) = 1$ and one can easily prove $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0$, for $k \geq 2$. So, to prove (3.16), it only remains to show that $\text{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_C) \leq 1$. But, assuming $\text{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^* \otimes \mathcal{I}_C) \geq 2$, we have that C is (scheme theoretically) contained in the simultaneous zero locus of a

pencil of global sections of \mathcal{U}^* , which is, by Lemma 3.6, either a line or a point or the empty set. This is nonsense, and (3.16) is proved.

Now, in order to prove (3.15), we first apply $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, \mathcal{I}_C)$ to (3.1) and we find $\mathrm{ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{I}_C) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U}) = 0$ for all k , (3.15) follows. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let E be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Let α be any non-zero element in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, E)$. Then α gives the long exact sequence:*

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

where C is a conic contained in X and σ is a global section of \mathcal{U}^* .

Proof. Let I be the image of the non-zero map $\alpha : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow E$. Recall by Lemma 3.1 that \mathcal{U} is stable. Thus, by stability of E we get $\mathrm{rk}(\ker(\alpha)) = 1$ and $c_1(\ker(\alpha)) = 0$. Since $\ker(\alpha)$ is reflexive, it must be invertible and we get an exact sequence of the form:

$$(3.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0,$$

where $T = \mathrm{cok}(\alpha)$ is a torsion sheaf.

We have $c_1(T) = 0$, $c_2(T) = -2$, $c_3(T) = 0$, so the support of T is a non-empty subscheme of codimension 2 in X . Recall that E is locally free (see [BF08, Proposition 3.5]), so that dualizing (3.18) we get:

$$(3.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the vanishing $\mathcal{E}xt_X^k(T, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$. This says that $\mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, where C is the zero-locus of $\sigma \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{U}^*)$. So, by Lemma 3.6, we have that C is either a conic in X or a line in X or a pair of points of X . But the last two cases are impossible, since we may compute from (3.19) the value $c_2(\mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 2$. Note that, if $y \in \Gamma$ is the point corresponding to the sheaf $E = \mathcal{E}_y$, we can write (3.19) as:

$$(3.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y^* \xrightarrow{\alpha^\top} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\sigma^\top} \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing again (3.19) we must get back (3.18), so that $T = \mathcal{E}xt_X^2(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, and the lemma is proved. Note that the exact sequence (3.17) is precisely (3.5). \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $E = \mathcal{E}_y$ be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$. Then we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, E) &= 2, \\ \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, E) &= 0, && \text{for all } k \geq 1, \\ \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, E^*) &= \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(E, \mathcal{U}^*) = 0, && \text{for all } k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Tensor (3.17) by \mathcal{U} and recall that $\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U}) = 0$ for all k . The bundle \mathcal{U} is exceptional, so $\mathrm{h}^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^*) = 1$ and $\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}^*) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. By (3.15), we conclude that $\mathrm{h}^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes E) = \mathrm{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, E) = 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes E) = \mathrm{ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, E) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Since $\Gamma = \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, we have $E \cong \mathcal{E}_y \cong \Phi(\mathcal{O}_y)$ for some $y \in \Gamma$. Then we have:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}_y^*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{E}_y, \mathcal{U}^*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\Phi(\mathcal{O}_y), \mathcal{U}^*),$$

and the last term vanishes, for all k , since $\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))$ is left-orthogonal to \mathcal{U}^* . \square

Proposition 3.10 (Iliev). *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{V} = q_*(p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on Γ , and we have a natural isomorphism:*

$$(3.21) \quad \mathcal{V}^* \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*).$$

The Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ over Γ . In particular, $\mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$ is a smooth irreducible surface.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.9, we have $\mathbf{R}^k q_*(p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$, for $k \geq 1$, and \mathcal{V} is a locally free sheaf on Γ of rank $h^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{E}_y) = 2$.

By an instance of Grothendieck duality, see [Har66, Chapter III], given a sheaf \mathcal{P} on $X \times \Gamma$, we have:

$$(3.22) \quad D(\mathbf{R}q_*(\mathcal{P})) \cong \mathbf{R}q_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes D(\mathcal{P}))[3],$$

and the isomorphism is functorial. Setting $\mathcal{P} = p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ in (3.22), we get (3.21).

Consider now an element ξ of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$. It is uniquely represented by a pair $([\alpha], y)$, where y is a point of Γ , and $[\alpha]$ is an element of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{E}_y))$. Setting $E = \mathcal{E}_y$ in Lemma 3.8, the morphism α gives (3.20), thus defining a conic C associated with α . This defines an algebraic map $\vartheta : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^0(X)$.

To conclude the proof, let us provide an inverse to ϑ . Let C be a conic contained in X . By (3.16), there exists a unique (up to scalar) morphism $\delta : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C$. The composition of δ with the embedding of $\mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ provides a non-zero global section σ of \mathcal{U}^* whose zero locus contains C . But in view of Lemma 3.6 this zero locus is thus precisely C , so that δ is surjective. Then, setting $K = \ker(\delta)$, it is easy to see that $K(1)$ is a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, so that $K(1) \cong \mathcal{E}_y$ for some $y \in \Gamma$. We then associate with the conic C the point $\xi = ([\alpha], y) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, where α is the transpose of the inclusion of K in \mathcal{U} . This provides an algebraic inverse to ϑ and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.11. *We have a natural isomorphism $\Phi^1(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1] \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$. In particular, we get $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \cong \omega_\Gamma(-N)$, where $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H_X + N$.*

Proof. We apply the functor Φ^1 to the triangle (3.14). Since $\Phi^1(\mathcal{U}^*) = 0$ by semi-orthogonality of (3.4), we obtain:

$$\Phi^1(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1] \cong \Phi^1(\Phi(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*))) \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*),$$

where the last equivalence follows from the fully faithfulness of Φ . The first statement is thus proved.

In view of the previous proposition, we have $\mathcal{V} \cong \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)^*$. Since the bundle \mathcal{E} has rank two and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = H_X + N$ we have $\mathcal{E}^* \cong \mathcal{E}(-H_X - N)$. Plugging this in the definition of $\Phi^1(\mathcal{U}(1))$ and recalling the definition of $\mathcal{V} = q_*(p^*(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$, we have:

$$\mathcal{V} \cong \Phi^1(\mathcal{U}(1)) \otimes \omega_\Gamma^*(N)[-1] \cong \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma^*(N).$$

Since \mathcal{V} has rank 2, we have $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \det(\mathcal{V})$, and the second statement of the lemma follows. \square

Remark 3.12. Recall that $\deg(N) = 5$ by assumption (3.12). Hence from Lemma 3.11 it follows that $\deg(\mathcal{V}) = 1$. In view of the previous results, we can identify \mathcal{V} with a twist of the stable bundle of rank 2 of degree 3, defined by Iliev in [Ili03, Section 5]. Let $K_\Gamma = c_1(\omega_\Gamma)$ and recall that by Mukai's theorem, [Muk01], X is isomorphic to the *type II Brill-Noether locus*:

$$M_\Gamma(2, K_\Gamma, 3\mathcal{V}) = \{\mathcal{F} \in M_\Gamma(2, c_1(\mathcal{V}) + K_\Gamma) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{V}^*) \geq 3\}.$$

Therefore, the bundle \mathcal{E} is universal also for the moduli space $X \cong M_\Gamma(2, K_\Gamma, 3\mathcal{V})$.

In fact, the moduli space of smooth prime Fano threefolds of genus 9 can be identified with the space of pairs (Γ, \mathcal{V}) where Γ is a smooth plane quartic and \mathcal{V} is a vector bundle of rank 2 of odd degree and such that any section of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ has self-intersection at least 3.

3.4. Lines contained in X . Here we focus on lines contained in X . We will describe their Hilbert scheme as a certain Brill-Noether locus of the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ of line bundles of degree 2 on Γ . We start with the following preliminary lemma:

Lemma 3.13. *Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle in $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ such that $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2$. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ is a stable vector bundle of rank 5 and degree 2.*

Proof. Given \mathcal{L} as above, we consider a 2-dimensional subspace $\Lambda \subset H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L})$, and the natural evaluation of sections:

$$\beta : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \Lambda^*.$$

First of all we prove that $\det(\beta) \neq 0$. Indeed, assume $\det(\beta) = 0$, and note that the image $\text{Im}(\beta)$ would be a line bundle admitting 2 linearly independent morphisms to \mathcal{L} , by definition of the evaluation map. Then, since Γ is not rational, we have $\deg(\mathcal{L}) - \deg(\text{Im}(\beta)) \geq 2$, so $\deg(\text{Im}(\beta)) \leq 0$. But, by a result of Mukai (see [Muk01, Theorem 9.1]), we know that any section of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ has self-intersection number at least 3. This implies that the degree of any line bundle which is quotient of \mathcal{V}^* is at least 1, so $\deg(\text{Im}(\beta)) \geq 1$, a contradiction.

Now since $\det(\beta) \neq 0$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^* \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{L} \otimes \Lambda^* \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{O}_{y_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{y_5} \rightarrow 0,$$

where y_1, \dots, y_5 are points of Γ . Applying the functor Φ to (3.23) and using (3.21) and Lemma 3.5, we obtain:

$$(3.24) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{i} \Phi(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \Lambda^* \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{E}_{y_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_5} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to compute $\deg(\Phi(\mathcal{L})) = 2$ and $\text{rk}(\Phi(\mathcal{L})) = 5$. Note also that $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ is indecomposable. Indeed, it is simple by fully faithfulness of Φ , because $\text{Hom}_X(\Phi(\mathcal{L}), \Phi(\mathcal{L})) \cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Applying Φ^* to (3.24) we get:

$$\Phi^*(g) = h, \quad \Phi^*(i) = j.$$

Now we want to prove that $\Phi(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \Lambda^* \cong \Phi(\mathcal{L})^2$ is semi-stable and this will imply that $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ is stable, because its degree and rank are co-prime. Assume the contrary, and let Q be a torsion-free quotient of $\Phi(\mathcal{L})^2$ with $\text{rk}(Q) \leq 9$

and $\mu(Q) < \frac{2}{5}$. Let K be the kernel of the projection $\Phi(\mathcal{L})^2 \rightarrow Q$. Clearly we have $\mu(K) > \frac{2}{5}$ and $\text{rk}(K) \leq 9$. We have thus the diagram:

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & K'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{U}^* & \rightarrow & \Phi(\mathcal{L})^2 & \rightarrow & \text{Im}(g) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Q' & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & Q'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Now since \mathcal{U}^* is stable we have $\mu(K') \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and since $\text{Im}(g)$ is included in $\mathcal{E}_{y_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_5}$, which is poly-stable, we have either $\mu(K'') < \frac{1}{2}$, or $\mu(K'') = \frac{1}{2}$ and (up to reordering of the y_i 's) $K'' \cong \mathcal{E}_{y_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 4$. Imposing all these numerical conditions we easily exclude the cases $\text{rk}(K) = 3, 5, 9$. Hence we are lead to deal with the following cases:

- i) $\mu(K) = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case $K' = 0$, $\mu(K'') = \frac{1}{2}$, and so, up to reordering of the y_i 's, we have $K \cong K'' \cong \mathcal{E}_{y_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Then we have a non-zero map $\mathcal{E}_{y_1} \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L})^2$, and since Φ is fully faithful and $\mathcal{E}_y \cong \Phi(\mathcal{O}_y)$, this amounts to a non-zero map $\mathcal{O}_{y_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2$, which is absurd since \mathcal{L} is torsion-free.
- ii) $\mu(K) = \frac{3}{7}$ and $K' = 0$. In this case $K \cong K''$, so the third line in the diagram is: $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q'' \rightarrow 0$, where $c_1(Q) = c_1(\mathcal{U}^*) = 1$ and $\text{rk}(Q) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{U}^*) = 3$. Recall that Q is torsion-free by assumption. Hence we can apply Lemma 2.1 and we get $Q'' = 0$. Then i splits, hence so does j , for $\Phi^*(i) = j$. This is absurd.
- iii) $\mu(K) = \frac{3}{7}$ and $\text{rk}(K') = 1$. This time $\text{rk}(K'') = 6$, $c_1(K'') = 3$ and, up to reordering of the y_i 's, we have $K'' \cong \mathcal{E}_{y_1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_2} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{y_3}$ and $c_1(K') = 0$. Looking at the first column in the above diagram, we see that K' is reflexive of rank 1, so $K' \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. But for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_{y_i}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, because each \mathcal{E}_{y_i} is ACM (or because $\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma))$ is left-orthogonal to \mathcal{O}_X). So the first row of (3.25) splits. As in (i), we would then get a non-zero map $\mathcal{E}_{y_i} \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L})^2$, which is absurd.
- iv) $\mu(K) = \frac{3}{7}$ and $\text{rk}(K') = 3$. In this case we must have $c_1(K') = 1$ and $\mu(K'') = \frac{1}{2}$. Then it follows again that K'' is a direct sum of some of the \mathcal{E}_{y_i} 's. On the other hand, since $\mu(K') = \frac{1}{3}$, we get $K' \cong \mathcal{U}^*$ by stability of \mathcal{U}^* . By Lemma 3.9 we know that $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{E}_{y_i}, \mathcal{U}^*) = 0$, so again the first row of (3.25) splits, and we are lead to a contradiction.

□

Proposition 3.14. *Let L be a line contained in X . Then $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is a line bundle of degree 2 and we have a functorial exact sequence:*

$$(3.26) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow A_L \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_L} \Phi(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A_L = H^1(L, \mathcal{U}_L^*(-2)) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover, the map:

$$\psi : L \mapsto \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$$

gives an isomorphism of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ with the Brill-Noether locus:

$$(3.27) \quad W = \{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2\}.$$

In fact, the last inequality must be an equality.

Proof. Recall that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf \mathcal{E}_y is a globally generated bundle (by [BF11, Theorem 3.2]) with $c_1(\mathcal{E}_y) = 1$. Thus, it splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. It easily follows that $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree 0, having rank equal to $h^0(L, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = 1$. By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula we compute $\deg(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))) = 2$.

To get (3.26), we use (3.9) and (3.10). We have thus to compute the cohomology groups $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U})$. Note that \mathcal{U}^* splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$ by Remark 3.2. So, using Serre duality, we see that $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U}) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$, while for $k = 2$ we have $\text{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and $\text{ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U}) = 2$. Setting $A_L = H^1(L, \mathcal{U}_L^*(-2)) \cong \text{Ext}_X^2(\mathcal{O}_L(-1), \mathcal{U})^*$, we obtain the functorial resolution (3.26) and $\dim(A_L) = 2$.

Set $\mathcal{L} = \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$, and recall the isomorphism (3.21). Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$ to the long exact sequence (3.26), since \mathcal{U} is exceptional, and both $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ vanish, we get the natural isomorphisms:

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}) &\cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*), \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})) \cong A_L, \\ \text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}) &\cong \text{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*), \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})) \cong H^1(L, \mathcal{U}(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the line bundle \mathcal{L} lies in the locus defined by (3.27), and actually we have $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 2$. Moreover, up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar, the morphism ζ_L coincides with the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})}$. Thus, the mapping $L \mapsto \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ is injective, since $\mathcal{O}_L(-1)$ can be recovered as $\text{cok}(\zeta_L)$.

Now in order to prove that ψ is an isomorphism, we will build the inverse $\psi^{-1} : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$. Given a line bundle \mathcal{L} in W we know by Lemma 3.13 that $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ is a stable vector bundle of rank 5 and degree 2. Choose now a 2-dimensional subspace $\Lambda \subset H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L})$, and let e be the evaluation map $e : \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{V}^* = \Lambda \otimes \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. By adjunction, from this map we obtain $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e)) : \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L})$, hence we deduce that $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e)) \neq 0$. Let K and T be the kernel and the image of $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e))$, and write the exact sequence:

$$(3.29) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e))} \Phi(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0.$$

By poly-stability of $\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{U}^*$ and stability of $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ (see Lemma 3.13), it easily follows that $\text{rk}(K) = 1$ and $c_1(K) = 0$. Moreover K is reflexive and hence invertible. This means that $K \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and T is a torsion sheaf with $c_1(T) = 0$,

$c_2(T) = -1$, $c_3(T) = -1$. Dualizing (3.29) we get

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L})^* \rightarrow \Lambda^* \otimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

and $\mathcal{E}xt_X^k(T, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$. This says that $\mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, where C is the zero-locus of two independent global sections of \mathcal{U}^* . So, by Lemma 3.6 and since $c_2(\mathcal{E}xt_X^2(T, \mathcal{O}_X)) = -1$, it follows that $C = L$ must be a line contained in X . Dualizing again we easily get $T \cong \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$.

This shows that (3.29) has the same form of (3.26), with $\mathcal{L} \cong \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$. It follows that $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = \dim(A_L) = 2$. This proves the last assertion, and also that Λ above is all of $H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L})$. We define $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = [L] \in \mathcal{H}_1^0$ and it is clear by the construction that ψ^{-1} is the inverse of ψ . \square

Lemma 3.15. *Given a line L contained in X , we have the triangle*

$$(3.30) \quad \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L[-1])) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L[-1]$$

and the map $\mathcal{O}_L \mapsto \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ onto the Brill-Noether locus:

$$\widetilde{W} = \{\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}^1(\Gamma) \mid h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{M}) \geq 1\}$$

which is isomorphic to the locus W defined in (3.27). Further, for any $\mathcal{M} \in \widetilde{W}$ we have:

$$(3.31) \quad \mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathcal{U}^*, \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\Phi(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathcal{O}_L,$$

for the unique line $L \subset X$ such that $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1] \cong \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By Serre duality we have $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all k , $\text{ext}_X^3(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{U}) = 1$ and $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{U}) = 0$ for all $k \neq 3$, since by Remark 3.2 we know that \mathcal{U}^* splits over L as $\mathcal{O}_L^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(1)$. Then by using (3.9) and (3.10), we get $\Psi(\Psi^*(\mathcal{O}_L)) \cong \mathcal{U}^*[2]$ and the triangle (3.30). In turn, this gives:

$$(3.32) \quad \mathcal{H}^k(\Phi(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L))) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}^* & \text{if } k = -1; \\ \mathcal{O}_L & \text{if } k = 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq -1, 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, with any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in W$ we associate the line bundle $\tau(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \otimes \det \mathcal{V}^*$. By Serre duality we have $H^1(\Gamma, \tau(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{V}) \cong H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{V}^*)$, since $\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \det \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{V}$. Hence $h^1(\Gamma, \tau(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{V}) = 2$, because $\mathcal{L} \in W$. By Riemann-Roch we know that $\chi(\tau(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{V}) = -1$, hence it follows that $h^0(\Gamma, \tau(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{V}) = 1$. Hence τ is a map from W to \widetilde{W} which is clearly an isomorphism. Since we proved in Proposition 3.14 that $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 2$, we obtain $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \tau(\mathcal{L})) = 1$.

Recall that in Proposition 3.14 we have established an isomorphism $\psi : L \mapsto \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))$ from the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ to the locus W . Now $\tau \circ \psi : \mathcal{H}_1^0(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ is again an isomorphism and we want to show that it coincides with $\Phi^1(-)[-1]$. Setting $\mathcal{P} = p^*(\mathcal{O}_L(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ in Grothendieck duality formula (3.22), and recalling the definition of Φ^1 , we have:

$$D(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))) \cong \mathbf{R}q_*(\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes D(p^*(\mathcal{O}_L(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma)[2]),$$

Recalling that $\mathcal{E}^* \cong \mathcal{E}(-H_X - N)$ and $\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \cong \omega_\Gamma(-N)$, we have $\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \cong \mathcal{E}(-H_X) \otimes \det \mathcal{V}^*$. Since $D(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))[2] \cong \mathcal{O}_L$ we can conclude that:

$$D(\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))) \cong \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(\mathcal{O}_L) \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \det \mathcal{V}) \cong \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1] \otimes \omega_\Gamma^* \otimes \det \mathcal{V}^*.$$

This proves that $\tau \circ \psi = \Phi^1(-)[-1]$ and so $\Phi^1(-)[-1]$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ onto \widetilde{W} . To check the last statement, we use that $\Phi^1(-)[-1]$ is an isomorphism, so for any $\mathcal{M} \in \widetilde{W}$, there is a unique $L \in \mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$. For this line L , we already proved the desired statement in (3.32). \square

4. STABLE SHEAVES OF RANK 2 WITH ODD DETERMINANT

Recall from [BF08, Theorem 3.9] that, for each $c_2 \geq 6$, there exists an irreducible component $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$ of dimension $2c_2 - 11$ of $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ containing a locally free sheaf F which satisfies:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_X^2(F, F) = 0,$$

and the extra assumption $\mathrm{H}^0(X, F \otimes \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) = 0$, for some line $L \subset X$ having normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$. For $c_2 = 6$, we have $\mathbf{M}(6) = \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6) \cong \Gamma$. For $c_2 \geq 7$, $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$ is defined recursively as the unique component of $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ which contains a sheaf F fitting into:

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is a general sheaf lying in $\mathbf{M}(c_2 - 1)$. Here we are going to prove the following result, which amounts to Part A of our main theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *For any integer $c_2 \geq 8$, there is a birational map φ , generically defined by $F \mapsto \Phi^1(F)$, from $\mathbf{M}(c_2)$ to a generically smooth $(2c_2 - 11)$ -dimensional component $\mathbf{B}(c_2)$ of the locus:*

$$(4.4) \quad \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{M}_\Gamma(c_2 - 6, c_2 - 5) \mid \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq c_2 - 6\}.$$

We begin with a series of lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $c_2 \geq 7$, and let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then F belongs to the subcategory $\langle \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$ of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and $\Phi^1(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ , of rank $c_2 - 6$ and degree $c_2 - 5$.*

Proof. By stability of F and Serre duality, we have $\mathrm{H}^k(X, F(-1)) = 0$ for $k = 0, 3$. Using (4.1) and Riemann-Roch's formula, we conclude:

$$\mathrm{H}^k(X, F(-1)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

By Serre duality, this is equivalent to:

$$(4.5) \quad \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

This implies that the bundle F belongs to $\langle \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$.

By the definition (3.7) of Φ^1 , the stalk of $\mathcal{H}^k(\Phi^1(F))$ over the point $y \in \Gamma$ is governed by:

$$(4.6) \quad \mathrm{H}^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{E}_y^* \otimes F) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma, y}.$$

Let us check that (4.6) vanishes for all $y \in \Gamma$ and for $k \neq 0$. For $k = -1$, the statement is clear. Indeed, by stability, any non-zero morphism $f : \mathcal{E}_y \rightarrow F$ would be injective. Then f should be an isomorphism by Lemma 2.1, and this is impossible.

To check the case $k = 1$, by Serre duality we can show $\text{Ext}_X^1(F, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = 0$. Recall by [BF08, Proposition 3.5] that \mathcal{E}_y is globally generated, and we have thus an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^6 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y \rightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing it, we obtain:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^6 \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where K is a stable vector bundle of rank 4. Applying $\text{Hom}_X(F, -)$, to this sequence, in view of (4.5) we get:

$$\text{Ext}_X^1(F, \mathcal{E}_y^*) = \text{Hom}_X(F, K^*) = 0,$$

where the last equality holds by stability (note that F has slope $1/2$ while K^* has slope $1/4$).

Finally, (4.6) vanishes for $k = 2$ again by stability. Indeed, $\text{H}^3(X, \mathcal{E}_y^* \otimes F)$ is dual to $\text{Hom}_X(F, \mathcal{E}_y^*)$, and the slopes here are $1/2$ and $-1/2$ so that this homomorphism group is zero. We have thus proved that $\Phi^1(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ , for the dimension of $\text{H}^1(X, \mathcal{E}_y^* \otimes F)$ does not depend on y . By Riemann-Roch we compute its rank as $\text{rk}(\Phi^1(F)) = \chi(F \otimes \mathcal{E}_y) = c_2 - 6$.

Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, one can easily compute the degree of $\Phi^1(F)$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $c_2 \geq 7$, and let F be a sheaf in $\text{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then we have a functorial resolution of the form:*

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_F} \Phi(\Phi^1(F)) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A_F = \text{Ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U})^*$ has dimension $c_2 - 6$.

Proof. To write down (4.7), we use the exact triangle (3.9). Note that by Lemma 4.2 we know that $F \in \langle \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$, and we need only to calculate the groups $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{U})$ for all k .

If $k = 0, 3$, we easily get $\text{Ext}_X^k(F, \mathcal{U}) = 0$ by stability of the sheaves \mathcal{U} and F . Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to (3.1) we get $\text{Ext}_X^1(F, \mathcal{U}) \cong \text{Hom}_X(F, \mathcal{U}^*) = 0$, where the vanishing follows from the stability of F and \mathcal{U} . By Riemann-Roch we get $\text{ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U}) = c_2 - 6$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $c_2 \geq 8$, and let F be a sheaf in $\text{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$, satisfying (4.1). Then:*

$$(4.8) \quad A_F \cong \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^1(F))),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^1(F))),$$

$$(4.10) \quad \text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq 1.$$

The map ζ_F in (4.7) coincides, up to conjugacy, with the natural evaluation map.

Proof. Let us first show $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$. By contradiction, we consider a non-zero map $\gamma : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$. By the argument of Lemma 3.8 we have $\ker(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Then the cokernel of γ is a torsion sheaf with Chern classes $c_1(\mathrm{cok}(\gamma)) = 0$, $c_2(\mathrm{cok}(\gamma)) = c_2 - 8 \geq 0$ and $c_3(\mathrm{cok}(\gamma)) = 6 - c_2 < 0$, and this is impossible by formula (2.1).

Now, in view of Lemma 4.3, we have the resolution (4.7). We apply to it the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$, and \mathcal{U}^* is exceptional, we get (4.8) and (4.9). It is now easy to show (4.10) also for $k = 2, 3$. Indeed, for $k \geq 2$, we have:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^k(\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*), \Phi^!(F)) = 0,$$

since $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)$ and $\Phi^!(F)$ are both sheaves on a curve, the first one by (3.21), the second one by Lemma 4.2.

The last statement follows from the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^*) \otimes \mathcal{U}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))) \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^* & \xrightarrow{\zeta_F} & \Phi(\Phi^!(F)) \end{array}$$

where the left arrow is given by the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^*) \cong A_F$, the top one is obtained by (4.8), and the right arrow is the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\Phi^!(F))}$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $c_2 \geq 8$, let F be a sheaf in $\mathcal{M}_X(2, 1, c_2)$ satisfying (4.1), and set $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^!(F)$.*

- i) *The bundle \mathcal{F} is simple, and satisfies $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = c_2 - 6$;*
- ii) *setting e for the natural evaluation map:*

$$e := e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}} : \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

we get that $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e))$ agrees with ζ_F up to conjugacy;

- iii) *if F satisfies (4.2), then the natural map:*

$$(4.11) \quad \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma)$$

is injective.

Proof. Recall the notation $A_F = \mathrm{Ext}_X^2(F, \mathcal{U}^*)^*$, and the isomorphism $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*) \cong \mathcal{V}^*$ (cf. (3.21)). Since $c_2 \geq 8$ we can invoke Lemma 4.4. So, we can use the natural isomorphisms (4.8), (4.9). We have thus:

$$A_F \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}), \quad \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}),$$

and we have seen that A_F has dimension $c_2 - 6$. This proves the second statement of (i).

Next, we apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, F)$ to the fundamental exact sequence (4.7), and we use the fact that $\Phi^!$ is right adjoint to Φ . The vanishing (4.10) at $k = 0$ thus gives:

$$(4.12)$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\Phi^!(F), \Phi^!(F)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\Phi(\Phi^!(F)), F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(F, F),$$

because F is stable, hence simple. So \mathcal{F} is simple and we have proved (i).

In order to show (ii), we prove that $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e))$ agrees with the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{F})}$, and we use Lemma 4.4. Since we have $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{F})) \cong A_F$, the map $e : \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \cong A_F \otimes \Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by adjunction gives the map $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e)) : A_F \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{F})$. So, by functoriality of the evaluation map, $e_{\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{F})}$ coincides with $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(e))$, and we are done. So (ii) is proved.

To prove (iii), we note that the map (4.11) is the transpose of the Petri map $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})$, and we have to check that $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})$ is surjective as soon as F satisfies (4.2). To see this, we write the long exact sequence obtained applying $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, F)$ to (4.7) and we use (4.12), to obtain:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(F, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F)} \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \otimes A_F^* \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_X^2(F, F) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the last zero is due to $\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on a curve. Therefore, in order to prove our claim, we only have to look at the map $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F)$ and to check that it agrees with $\Phi(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F}))$. But this is clear, since:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\zeta_F, F) = \mathrm{Ext}_X^1(\Phi(\Phi^*(\zeta_F)), F) = \Phi(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(\Phi^*(\zeta_F), \mathcal{F})) = \Phi(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F})).$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *Given a non-split exact sequence of vector bundles on Γ :*

$$(4.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0,$$

assume $\mathrm{rk}(F') = \mathrm{deg}(F') = 1$, $\mathrm{deg}(F) = \mathrm{rk}(F) + 1$ and F'' μ -stable. Then F is μ -stable.

Proof. Set $r = \mathrm{rk}(F)$. We have $\mathrm{deg}(F) = r + 1$, $\mathrm{rk}(F'') = r - 1$ and $\mathrm{deg}(F'') = r$. Assume by contradiction that F is not μ -stable, so it contains a subsheaf K with $\mu(K) \geq \mu(F)$ and $\mathrm{rk}(K) < r$. Set $s = \mathrm{rk}(K)$ and $c = \mathrm{deg}(K)$. The sequence (4.13) induces an exact sequence of torsion-free sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow K \rightarrow K'' \rightarrow 0,$$

with $K'' \subset F''$ and $K' \subset F'$.

If $K' = 0$, then $K \cong K''$ and so $\mu(K) < \mu(F'')$ for F'' is μ -stable and (4.13) is non-split. Now $\mu(K) = c/s \geq (r + 1)/r = \mu(F)$ gives $c > r + 1$ because $s < r$, and similarly $\mu(K) = c/s < \mu(F'') = r/(r - 1)$ gives $c(r - 1) < r^2$. So $r^2 - 1 < c(r - 1) < r^2$, which is impossible.

If $\mathrm{rk}(K') = 1$, we have either $\mathrm{deg}(K') \leq 0$, or $K' \cong F'$. In the first case we have $\mathrm{deg}(K'') \geq \mathrm{deg}(K)$ and so $\mu(F) \leq \mu(K) \leq \frac{\mathrm{deg}(K'')}{s-1} = \mu(K'') < \mu(F'')$ and again $(r + 1)/r \leq c/s < r/(r - 1)$ is impossible if $s < r$. In the second case, we get $(c - 1)/(s - 1) = \mu(K'') < \mu(F'') = r/(r - 1)$ and $c/s = \mu(K) \geq \mu(F) = (r + 1)/r$. Using $s < r$, from the first inequality we get $(c - 1)(r - 1) < r(r - 1)$, so $r + 1 > c$, and plugging into the second inequality we get $cr > cs$, which is absurd. □

We are now in position to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof goes by induction on $c_2 \geq 8$, but we first need some results on the case $c_2 = 7$. According to [BF08, Proposition 3.5], any sheaf F of $\mathbb{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ satisfies (4.1), so we may apply Lemma

4.2 to F and we obtain that $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^1(F)$ is a line bundle (hence stable) of degree 2 on Γ . Since \mathcal{V} has rank 2 and degree 1 (see Remark 3.12), applying Riemann-Roch on Γ we get:

$$h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq \chi(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 1.$$

Now let us look at $c_2 \geq 8$. Recall from [BF08, Theorem 3.9] that there exists an irreducible component $M(c_2)$ of dimension $2c_2 - 11$ of $M_X(2, 1, c_2)$ containing a vector bundle F satisfying (4.1), hence by semicontinuity there exists an open dense subset of $M(c_2)$ where any vector bundle satisfies (4.1). Thus, for any sheaf F in this open set, by Lemma 4.2 it follows that $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^1(F)$ is a vector bundle on Γ of rank $c_2 - 6$ and degree $c_2 - 5$, and it satisfies $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = c_2 - 6$ by Lemma 4.5. The mapping that sends \mathcal{F} to F is injective for $c_2 \geq 8$, because, in view of Lemma 4.4, the sheaf F can be recovered from \mathcal{F} as the cokernel of the natural evaluation map:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{F})) \otimes \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{F}).$$

Let us now prove that, if F is general in $M(c_2)$, then the vector bundle $\Phi^1(F)$ is stable over Γ . In fact we prove that, if F is a sheaf fitting into (4.3), and G is general in $M(c_2 - 1)$, then $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^1(F)$ is stable over Γ . Since stability is an open property by [Mar76], this will imply that $\Phi^1(F)$ is stable for F general in $M(c_2)$. By induction, we may assume that $\Phi^1(G)$ is a stable vector bundle of rank $c_2 - 7$ and degree $c_2 - 6$.

Applying Φ^1 to (4.3), we get an exact sequence of bundles on Γ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Phi^1(G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 1 by Lemma 3.15. Note that this extension must be non-split. Indeed, \mathcal{F} is simple in view of (4.12), hence indecomposable. Moreover, $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}) + 1$, so we may apply Lemma 4.6 and conclude that \mathcal{F} is stable.

We have thus proved that an open dense subset of $M(c_2)$ maps into the locus defined by (4.4). This locus is equipped with a natural structure of a subvariety of the moduli space $M_\Gamma(c_2 - 6, c_2 - 5)$. Its tangent space at the point $[\mathcal{F}]$ is identified with $\ker(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F}))$, while the obstruction sits in $\mathrm{cok}(\mathrm{Ext}_\Gamma^1(e, \mathcal{F}))$, where again $e = e_{\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{F}}$. Notice that, by Lemma 4.5, the latter space vanishes if F satisfies (4.2).

We have thus proved that the mapping $F \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ provides an open immersion of a Zariski open dense subset of the $(2d - 11)$ -dimensional variety $M(c_2)$, into a Zariski open dense piece of $B(c_2)$. This finishes the proof. \square

5. THE MODULI SPACE $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ AS A BLOW-UP OF THE PICARD VARIETY

In this section, we set up a more detailed study of the moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$, of which we give a biregular (rather than birational) description. In fact, the map $\varphi : F \mapsto \Phi^1(F)$ sends the whole space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ to the abelian variety $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$. In turn, $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ contains a copy of the Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{H}_1^0(X)$, via the map ψ (see Proposition 3.14), as a subvariety of codimension 2. The relation between these varieties is given by the main result of this section, which provides Part B of our main theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *The mapping $\varphi : F \mapsto \Phi^!(F)$ gives an isomorphism of the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ to the blow-up of $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the subvariety $W = \psi(\mathcal{H}_1^0(X))$. The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ which are not globally generated.*

The proof of this result occupies the rest of the paper. The strategy goes as follows. First, we show that $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ is isomorphic to the the Quot scheme of quotients of \mathcal{V} with Hilbert polynomial $t + 1$. Then, we prove that this Quot scheme is isomorphic to the blow-up of $\mathrm{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the subvariety W . In doing so, we will first need a detailed analysis of the locus in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ of sheaves that are not globally generated.

5.1. Characterization and properties of globally generated sheaves.

We first study in detail the condition for a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ to be globally generated. In particular, we need to show that a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ is not globally generated iff it fails to be so on a line $L \subset X$, see the next lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$. Then, we have:*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathrm{H}^k(X, F(-1)) = \mathrm{H}^k(X, F) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, either F is locally free, or there exist a bundle E in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 6)$, and a line $L \subset X$, and an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, the following statements are equivalent:

- i) the sheaf F is not globally generated;*
- ii) the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F)$ is non-zero;*
- iii) there exists a line $L \subset X$, and a long exact sequence:*

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The first two statements are taken from [BF08, Proposition 3.5], and we only have to prove the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii). Clearly condition (iii) implies both conditions (i) and (ii).

Let us prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Consider a non-zero map $\gamma : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow F$. The argument of Lemma 3.8 implies $\ker(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and the cokernel T of γ has $c_1(T) = 0$, $c_2(T) = -1$, $c_3(T) = -1$. So we have $T \cong \mathcal{O}_L(-1)$, for some line $L \subset X$, if T is supported on a Cohen-Macaulay curve. In turn, this holds if the support of T has no isolated or embedded points, which follows once we prove $\mathrm{H}^0(X, T(-1)) = 0$. But, if I is the image of the middle map in (5.2), we have $\mathrm{H}^1(X, I(-1)) = 0$, so by $\mathrm{H}^0(X, F(-1)) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(X, T(-1)) = 0$, and we are done.

It remains to show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We follow here an argument suggested by the referee, that simplifies our previous proof. Let F be a sheaf in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$. We assume $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$, and we want to prove that F is globally generated.

First, we observe that $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$ implies that $F(1)$ lies in the subcategory ${}^\perp(\mathcal{U}^*)$ of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$, and that this subcategory is $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)), \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rangle$. The second assertion is clear from $\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$, since mutating \mathcal{O}_X through $\langle \mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)) \rangle$ we get $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. The relation $F \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{U}^*)$

amounts to show $\text{Ext}_X^{3-k}(F(1), \mathcal{U}^*) = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq 3$, or by Serre duality $\text{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq 3$. This is clear for $k = 2, 3$ by the argument proving (4.10) in Lemma 4.4. Our assumption $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) = 0$ gives this vanishing for $k = 0$, so we can deduce the vanishing for $k = 1$ by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula (3.11).

Now, we know that $H^k(X, F) = 0$ for $k > 0$, so the decomposition $(\Phi(\mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)), \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ gives an exact triangle:

$$H^0(X, F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow F(1) \rightarrow \Phi(\Phi^*(F(1))).$$

We will be done if we show $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\Phi^*(F(1)))) = 0$, for in this case the above triangle show that F is globally generated. Note that, by definition of Φ^* and $\Phi^!$ (see (3.8) and (3.7)), we have $\Phi^*(F(1)) \cong \Phi^!(F) \otimes \omega_\Gamma^*[2]$. But we have proved that $\Phi^!(F)$ is a sheaf, so $\Phi^*(F(1))$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree -2 , supported on the curve Γ . This clearly implies $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\Phi^*(F(1)))) = 0$, and we are done. \square

5.2. Sheaves on the Fano threefold and Quot scheme on the dual curve. We refer to [HL97] for the description and properties of Grothendieck's Quot scheme. We let $\mathbf{P} = \text{Quot}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}, t+1)$ be the Quot scheme of quotients of \mathcal{V} having Hilbert polynomial $t+1$. An element of \mathbf{P} is a quotient Q of \mathcal{V} of rank 1 and degree 3. The dual of the kernel of the map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow Q$ is a line bundle of degree 2 on Γ , so we have a map $v : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 5.3. *The moduli space $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ is isomorphic to the Quot scheme \mathbf{P} .*

Proof. We would like to find two maps between $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ and \mathbf{P} that are mutually inverse. We now define a map $k : M_X(2, 1, 7) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$. To do so, let F be any sheaf in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$ set $\mathcal{L} = \Phi(F)$ and recall that, by (4.7), F is the cokernel of a canonically defined map $\zeta_F : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L})$. Apply Φ^* and recall that $\Phi^*(\mathcal{U}^*) \cong \mathcal{V}^*$ (Proposition 3.10) and that $\Phi^*(\Phi(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathcal{L}$ lies in $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ (Lemma 4.2). Then, with F we associate a non-zero map $\mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and its transpose gives an injective map $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, whose cokernel we define as $k(F) \in \mathbf{P}$.

Now we define a map $h : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow M_X(2, 1, 7)$, inverse of k . To do so, consider a quotient Q of \mathcal{V} and let \mathcal{L}^* be the kernel of the projection $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow Q$. The sheaf \mathcal{L} is a line bundle of degree 2 on Γ . Transposing the injection $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ we get a non-zero map $a_Q : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. We can now take, by adjunction, the map:

$$\varepsilon_Q = \mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(a_Q)) : \mathcal{U}^* \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{L}).$$

We shall prove that $\mathcal{F}_Q = \text{cok}(\varepsilon_Q)$ is a sheaf in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$. We distinguish two cases, according to whether a_Q is surjective or not.

- i) If a_Q is surjective, then $K = \ker(a_Q)$ is a line bundle on Γ of degree -3 , and we have:

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that, using stability of the \mathcal{E}_y 's, we can easily check that $\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ and $\Phi(K)$ are vector bundles, concentrated in degree 0 and 1 respectively, and the rank and Chern classes of these bundles are determined

by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. Applying Φ to (5.3) we get:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_Q} \Phi(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Phi(K)[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then one can easily see that \mathcal{F}_Q , being the image of the middle map above, is reflexive and lies in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$.

- ii) Assume now that a_Q is not surjective. We set $K = \ker(a_Q)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \text{Im}(a_Q)$ and we have $\text{rk}(\mathcal{M}) = 1$. Note that $\deg \mathcal{M} \geq 1$ by the same argument of Lemma 3.13, hence in fact $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 1$. We have then the exact sequence:

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_y \rightarrow 0.$$

The line bundle \mathcal{M} obviously lies in the locus \widetilde{W} defined by Lemma 3.15. Then we have, by (3.31), that $\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathcal{U}^*$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\Phi(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathcal{O}_M$, where $M \subset X$ is a line. So, applying Φ to (5.4), we get:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_Q} \Phi(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_Q = \text{cok}(\varepsilon_Q)$ lies in $M_X(2, 1, 7)$, and we know moreover that \mathcal{F}_Q is not locally free over M and that $\mathcal{F}_Q^{**} \cong \mathcal{E}_y$.

We have thus completed the construction of h . It is now straightforward to check that h and k are mutually inverse. \square

5.3. Quot scheme and the blow-up of the Picard variety. Recall that we proved in Proposition 3.14 that the Hilbert scheme of lines contained in X is isomorphic to a subvariety W of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, and denote by $\iota : W \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ the inclusion. We consider the blow-up \mathbf{B} of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ at W , and we denote by \mathbf{E} the exceptional divisor.

Proposition 5.4. *The Quot scheme \mathbf{P} is isomorphic to the blown-up Picard variety \mathbf{B} .*

We need the following lemma. Let us denote by r and s the projections from $\Gamma \times \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ onto Γ and $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Gamma \times \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) & \\ r \swarrow & & \searrow s \\ \Gamma & & \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

A Poincaré bundle \mathcal{P} on $\Gamma \times \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ is a line bundle satisfying $\mathcal{P}|_{\Gamma \times \mathcal{L}} \cong \mathcal{L}$, for all $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 5.5. *There is a choice of a Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P} on $\Gamma \times \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ that gives:*

$$\begin{aligned} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)}, \\ \mathbf{R}^1 s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P}) &\cong \iota_*(\omega_W), \\ \mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*) &\cong \mathcal{I}_W[-1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will first choose \mathcal{P} to be any Poincaré line bundle on $\Gamma \times \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, and indicate at the end of the proof how to modify \mathcal{P} so that it has the desired properties.

Recall that W is purely 1-dimensional subscheme of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, see Proposition 3.14. Moreover, by the same proposition, W is Cohen-Macaulay, as it can be seen using the formalism of determinantal subvarieties, as explained in [ACGH85].

As a first step, we compute $\mathbf{R}^k s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P})$ and $\mathbf{R}^k s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*)$. These sheaves are zero for $k \neq 0, 1$. Further, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}) &= 2 & h^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}) &= 1 & \text{iff } \mathcal{L} \in W, \\ h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}) &= 1 & h^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}) &= 0 & \text{iff } \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \setminus W. \end{aligned}$$

This says that $s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P})$ is a line bundle \mathcal{M} on $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, and that $\mathbf{R}^1 s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P})$ is $\iota_*(\mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is an invertible sheaf on W . Further, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}^*) &= 1 & h^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}^*) &= 2 & \text{iff } \mathcal{L} \in W, \\ h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}^*) &= 0 & h^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma \otimes \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{L}^*) &= 1 & \text{iff } \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma) \setminus W. \end{aligned}$$

So $s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*) = 0$, and $\mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*)$ is a sheaf on $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$, concentrated in degree 1, and having (generic) rank 1.

To relate these two calculations, we use Grothendieck duality, which gives:

$$(5.5) \quad D(\mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{P})) \cong \mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*)[1].$$

We have $D(\iota_*(\mathcal{N})) \cong \iota_*(\mathcal{N}^* \otimes \omega_W)[2]$, since W is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension 2 in $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$. Looking at the spectral sequence associated with (5.5), we get that $\mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*)[1]$ is the kernel of a surjective map:

$$\mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \iota_*(\mathcal{N}^* \otimes \omega_W).$$

Hence we get the isomorphisms:

$$\mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(\mathcal{V}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}^*) \cong \mathcal{I}_W \otimes \mathcal{M}^*[-1], \quad \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes \iota_*(\omega_W).$$

It is now clear that the desired Poincaré line bundle is obtained as $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{M}^*$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.4. Consider the blow-up \mathbf{B} of $\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ along the subvariety W , and let $b : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$ denote the blow-up map. Recall that \mathbf{B} is the projectivization of the sheaf \mathcal{I}_W . So, a point z of \mathbf{B} is uniquely given by a surjection $\mathcal{I}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}$. In fact, a non-zero map $\mathcal{I}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}$ can only be a surjection, since $\mathcal{O}_{b(z)}$ has no non-trivial sub-sheaves. Summing up, points of \mathbf{B} are just non-zero maps $\mathcal{I}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}$.

We consider now the functor $\Upsilon : \mathbf{D}^b(\text{Pic}^2 \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\Gamma)$ defined by $\Upsilon(-) = \mathbf{R} r_*(s^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{P})$, and its left adjoint functor $\Upsilon^*(-) = \mathbf{R} s_*(r^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{P}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma)[1]$. Then the previous lemma says:

$$\Upsilon^*(\mathcal{V}^*) \cong \mathcal{I}_W.$$

Recall now that $b(z)$ represents a line bundle of degree 2 on Γ , namely $\mathcal{P}_{b(z)}$. Let us abbreviate $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{P}_{b(z)}$. We consider the following identifications:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{I}_W, \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)}(\Upsilon^*(\mathcal{V}^*), \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}) \cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \Upsilon(\mathcal{O}_{b(z)})) \cong \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L}).$$

Recall that a point of \mathbf{P} is a quotient Q of \mathcal{V} with rank 1 and degree 3. We already pointed out that such a quotient $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow Q$ determines a kernel

\mathcal{L}^* (with $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)$) and so a non-zero map $a : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Vice-versa, a non-zero map $a : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ determines Q as the cokernel of its transpose.

Now the correspondence between \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{P} is clear. Namely, a point z of \mathbf{B} is a non-zero element of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pic}^2(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{I}_W, \mathcal{O}_{b(z)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{L})$, so z corresponds to a map $a : \mathcal{V}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, and we associate with z the cokernel of the map $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, obtained transposing a . This cokernel is an element of \mathbf{Q} . Clearly the construction is reversible, and the proposition is proved. \square

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is now almost completed. The only statement that still needs to be checked is that the exceptional divisor \mathbf{E} of the blow-up is mapped by our isomorphism onto the set of sheaves in $\mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ that are not globally generated. To see this, recall that an element $w \in \mathbf{B}$ lies in \mathbf{E} if and only if it is mapped by b to a point of W . In turn, a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \Phi^1(F)$ lies in W if and only if it satisfies $h^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 2$. But this is equivalent to $\text{hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, \Phi(\mathcal{L})) = 2$, which in turn happens if and only if $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, F) \neq 0$, as one sees immediately applying $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}^*, -)$ to (4.7). Therefore, by Lemma 5.2, we get that $w \in \mathbf{B}$ lies in \mathbf{E} if and only if the corresponding sheaf $F_w \in \mathbf{M}_X(2, 1, 7)$ fails to be globally generated. This concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- [ACGH85] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, Phillip A. Griffiths, and Joe Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [AHDM78] Michael F. Atiyah, Nigel J. Hitchin, Vladimir G. Drinfel'd, and Yuri I. Manin. Construction of instantons. *Phys. Lett. A*, 65(3):185–187, 1978.
- [AO94] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani. Stability of special instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 341(2):677–693, 1994.
- [AW77] Michal F. Atiyah and Richard S. Ward. Instantons and algebraic geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 55(2):117–124, 1977.
- [Bar77] Wolf Barth. Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on \mathbf{P}_n . *Math. Ann.*, 226(2):125–150, 1977.
- [BF08] Maria Chiara Brambilla and Daniele Faenzi. Vector bundles on Fano threefolds of genus 7 and Brill-Noether loci. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2008.
- [BF11] Maria Chiara Brambilla and Daniele Faenzi. Moduli spaces of rank-2 ACM bundles on prime Fano threefolds. *Mich. Math. J.*, 60(1):113–148, 2011.
- [BH78] Wolf Barth and Klaus Hulek. Monads and moduli of vector bundles. *Manuscripta Math.*, 25(4):323–347, 1978.
- [BO95] Alexei I. Bondal and Dmitri O. Orlov. Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. In *eprint arXiv:alg-geom/9506012*, June 1995.
- [Bon89] Alexei I. Bondal. Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(1):25–44, 1989.
- [Că105] Andrei Căldăraru. Derived categories of sheaves: a skimming. In *Snowbird lectures in algebraic geometry*, volume 388 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–75. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [CDH05] Marta Casanellas, Elena Drozd, and Robin Hartshorne. Gorenstein liaison and ACM sheaves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 584:149–171, 2005.
- [Dru00] Stéphane Druel. Espace des modules des faisceaux de rang 2 semi-stables de classes de Chern $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ et $c_3 = 0$ sur la cubique de \mathbf{P}^4 . *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (19):985–1004, 2000.

- [Fae11] Daniele Faenzi. Even and odd instanton bundles on Fano threefolds of Picard number 1. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2011.
- [GLN06] Laurent Gruson, Fatima Laytimi, and Donihakkalu S. Nagaraj. On prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. *Internat. J. Math.*, 17(3):253–261, 2006.
- [GM96] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin. *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. Translated from the 1988 Russian original.
- [Gor90] Alexei L. Gorodentsev. Exceptional objects and mutations in derived categories. In *Helices and vector bundles*, volume 148 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 57–73. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Har66] Robin Hartshorne. *Residues and duality*. Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980.
- [HL97] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [Hop84] Hans Jürgen Hoppe. Generischer Spaltungstyp und zweite Chernklasse stabiler Vektorraumbündel vom Rang 4 auf \mathbf{P}_4 . *Math. Z.*, 187(3):345–360, 1984.
- [Ili03] Atanas Iliev. The Sp_3 -Grassmannian and duality for prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. *Manuscripta Math.*, 112(1):29–53, 2003.
- [IM00] Atanas Iliev and Dimitri G. Markushevich. The Abel-Jacobi map for a cubic threefold and periods of Fano threefolds of degree 14. *Doc. Math.*, 5:23–47 (electronic), 2000.
- [IP99] Vasilii A. Iskovskikh and Yuri. G. Prokhorov. Fano varieties. In *Algebraic geometry, V*, volume 47 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 1–247. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [IR05] Atanas Iliev and Kristian Ranestad. Geometry of the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathbf{LG}(3, 6)$ with applications to Brill-Noether loci. *Michigan Math. J.*, 53(2):383–417, 2005.
- [JV11] Marcos Jardim and Misha Verbitsky. Trihyperkahler reduction and instanton bundles on CP^3 . *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2011.
- [Kuz06] Alexander G. Kuznetsov. Hyperplane sections and derived categories. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 70(3):23–128, 2006.
- [Mar76] Masaki Maruyama. Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 16(3):627–637, 1976.
- [MT01] Dimitri G. Markushevich and Alexander S. Tikhomirov. The Abel-Jacobi map of a moduli component of vector bundles on the cubic threefold. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 10(1):37–62, 2001.
- [Muk88] Shigeru Mukai. Curves, $K3$ surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10 . In *Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I*, pages 357–377. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.
- [Muk89] Shigeru Mukai. Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 86(9):3000–3002, 1989.
- [Muk01] Shigeru Mukai. Non-abelian Brill-Noether theory and Fano 3-folds [translation of *Sūgaku* 49 (1997), no. 1, 1–24; MR 99b:14012]. *Sugaku Expositions*, 14(2):125–153, 2001. Sugaku Expositions.
- [Tik11] Alexander S. Tikhomirov. Moduli of mathematical instanton vector bundles with odd c_2 on projective space. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2011.
- [Wey03] Jerzy Weyman. *Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies*, volume 149 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE, VIA BRECCE BIANCHE, I-60131 ANCONA
- ITALIA

URL: <http://www.dipmat.univpm.it/~brambilla>

E-mail address: daniele.faenzi@univ-pau.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE PAU ET DES PAYS DE L'ADOUR, AV. DE L'UNIVERSITÉ - BP 576 -
64012 PAU CEDEX - FRANCE

URL: <http://www.univ-pau.fr/~faenzi/>